combination with  $0.2~{\rm mg}~E_2$ . Body weights were recorded every week. At the end of the experiments the birds were killed, their sex was determined, and their combs were excised and weighed.

There were no significant differences in the body weight between the treated and control groups in our experiment. However,  $GA_3$  increased the comb weight in male chicks, with 2 mg  $GA_3$  being the most effective treatment (table). Estrogen inhibited comb growth in males and was equally effective when injected together with different concentrations of  $GA_3$ . Female chick combs did not show any effects of the  $GA_3$  or estrogen injections although androgens normally increase both the male and female chick comb weights.

Our experiment confirmed the weak androgenic properties of  $GA_3$  which seems effective in stimulating the growth of the comb in the male chicks and the sex accessory organs in male rats (prostate<sup>8</sup>, seminal vesicle<sup>7</sup>). The anabolic activity of  $GA_3$ , as noted in the enhancement of body growth<sup>2,4-6</sup> and the levator ani muscle<sup>8</sup> is also reminiscent of androgen action.

However,  $GA_3$  is even more effective in stimulating the growth of the female reproductive organs. It was found to increase the uterus weight in immature <sup>10</sup> and ovariectomized <sup>11</sup> rats and mice.  $GA_3$  apparently acts syner-

gistically with estrogen <sup>10</sup>. In the traumatized uterus of immature, estrone primed rats, GA<sub>3</sub> caused a weight increase of the stimulated horn in a progesterone-like response <sup>10</sup>. Female sex organs are known to be stimulated by androgen treatments <sup>9</sup>. Ovariectomized rats, after estrogen priming, produce significant progestational changes in the uterus within 2 weeks of daily testosterone administration. Progesterone itself has weak androgenic properties, being able to restore partially the prostate weight in castrated male rats <sup>12</sup>.

In summary, gibberellic acid apparently possesses some androgenic properties and its action in animal organisms resembles that of progesterone. Further studies are necessary to elucidate the mode of action of  $GA_3$  and its possible interaction with other hormones in male and female organisms at different stages of development.

- R. I. Dorfman and R. A. Shipley, Androgens, p. 547. Wiley & Sons, New York 1956.
- 10 A. M. Gawienowski, S. S. Stadnicki and M. Stacewicz-Sapuntzakis, Life Sci. 20, 785 (1977); A. M. Gawienowski, S. W. Pfeiffer and M. Stacewicz-Sapuntzakis, submitted for publication.
- 11 M. Maillet and C. Bouton, Therapie 24, 497 (1969).
- 12 D. Price, J. Mann and C. Lutwak-Mann, Nature 165, 950 (1949).

## DISPUTANDUM

## Absence of $\beta$ -exotoxin in Thuricide® preparations.

## A reply to C.B.S.R. Sharma et al.

D. Bassand and S. Carpy

Agrochemical Department, Research, Sandoz Ltd., CH-4002 Basel (Switzerland), 27 May 1977

Summary. The biological insecticide Thuricide® is produced from B. thuringiensis, Berliner, var. kurstaki (serotype 3a, 3b), a bacterial strain which does not synthesize exotoxin. Thus, our product is devoid of any C-mitotic or mutagenic potentiality such as is to be found in exotoxin.

Some months ago in this journal, in a paper entitled 'The Exotoxin of Bacillus thuringiensis: a New C-Mitotic Agent'1, some statements were made in relation to the microbial insecticide Thuricide®, a product from Sandoz Ltd, which are incorrect and misleading. In the summary is said: ... an exotoxin from Bacillus thuringiensis, a constituent of the microbial insecticide thuricide (sic) has been found...' and further in the text '... there seems to be a need for caution in the extensive use of commercial preparations of B. thuringiensis as a microbial insecticide on crop plants.' First of all, the authors omitted to mention the strain of Bacillus thuringiensis (B.t.) they used to produce the exotoxin. This is a very important point in view of the fact that there are, among the numerous serotypes of B.t.<sup>2</sup> some which synthesize exotoxin (e.g. serotype 1), and some which do not (e.g. serotype 3a, 3b)3. As a matter of fact, Sharma et al. stated that exotoxin was obtained according to the method of Kim and  ${\rm Huang}\,^4$ , who used a culture of B. thuringiensis var thuringiensis belonging to serotype 1.

The biological insecticide Thuricide®, however, is produced from B.t. Berliner, var. hurstahi (serotype 3a, 3b), a bacterial strain which does not possess the capability to synthesize the thermostable  $\beta$ -exotoxin, also called Thuringiensin A³. In this place, it seems necessary to keep in mind that the active principle of Thuricide® is not exotoxin, but a crystalline, high-molecular weight protein, called  $\delta$ -endotoxin, which is associated with the B.t. spores. Thus, this product is unlikely to be able to possess

C-mitotic or mutagenic potentiality, which is related to exotoxin.

Furthermore, the authors used the term 'thuricide' as a common name. Thuricide®, however, is a registered trade mark, the property of the firm Sandoz Ltd., Basle (Switzerland), and reserved for the specific range of products based on *Bacillus thuringiensis*, Berliner, var. *kurstaki* (serotype 3a, 3b). Therefore, the origin of the product or the B.t. strain used should be specified in any future publication dealing with these subjects.

It should also be mentioned that the safety of Thuricide® products for vertebrates (including man) has been consistently demonstrated by extensive toxicity and pathogenicity studies 5,6 which led to their full exemption from residue tolerance for use on food and forage crops by the FDA, now the EPA. As requested by this authority, each batch of Thuricide® is, apart from the other usual quality control procedure, routinely checked for exotoxin as well as for its pathogenicity to mammals. On the basis of the favourable outcome of all these studies, Thuricide® products have been successfully registered also in various other countries in recent years.

- 1 C. B. S. R. Sharma, S. S. V. Prasad, S. B. Pai and Sheela Sharma, Experientia 32, 1465 (1976).
- 2 H. De Barjac and A. Bonnefoi, Entomophaga 18, 5 (1973).
- 3 H. De Barjac and M. Lecadet, C. r. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série D 282, 2119 (1976).
- 4 Y. T. Kim and H. T. Huang, J. Invert. Path. 15, 100 (1970).
- 5 R. Fisher and L. Rosner, Agr. Food Chem. 7, 686 (1959).
- 6 C. M. Ignoffo, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 217, 141 (1973).